December 4, 2024

United States Senate Washington, DC 20005

Dear Senator:

The 70 undersigned organizations urge the Senate to defend its institutional role under the Constitution in the staffing of a new administration. We strongly oppose the use of recess appointments to preemptively bypass the need for Senate confirmation of those whom President-elect Trump selects to carry out his agenda.

The framers of the Constitution included the requirement of Senate "Advice and Consent" for high-ranking officers for a reason: The requirement can protect our freedom, just as the Bill of Rights does, by providing an indispensable check on presidential power. Specifically, it impedes the ability of a president to place dangerously unqualified or unsuitable individuals in positions of power.

Donald Trump will not be the first president in history to want to get his nominees confirmed quickly at the start of his administration. Indeed, every president wants that. Yet every other president has accepted that he is not a king. Every other president has abided by the constitutional role of the Senate. And no other Senate has accepted, or would have accepted, a demand that they give their power away.

The confirmation process can be time-consuming. Senators need time to effectively vet those to whom we entrust great power.

Whether it's the attorney general, a national security official, or a federal judge, the American people should know about the people who are nominated to fill these positions. Nominees submit detailed questionnaires to the Senate, which are made public. They are subject to background investigations, and the findings are shared with senators on the relevant committees. The nominees appear under oath before a Senate committee and answer questions about their records, their qualifications, and their plans once they take office. This process provides crucial data that helps the Senate and the American people evaluate nominees' fitness for the important positions to which they are nominated.

None of that would happen with recess appointments. The American people would be kept in the dark.

And it is the American people that this is ultimately about. As Justice Scalia wrote in his concurrence in the 2014 *Noel Canning* case, in which all nine justices agreed that the president had exceeded his recess appointment power:

[T]he limitation upon the President's appointment power is there not for the benefit of the Senate, but for the protection of the people.ⁱ

Ten years later, Justice Scalia's admirers are among those speaking out against President-elect Trump's demand that the Senate allow him to make recess appointments. For instance, longtime conservative judicial activist Ed Whelan has condemned Trump for "threatening to turn the Constitution's appointment process for Cabinet officers on its head."

Giving in to the president-elect's demand for recess appointments under the current circumstances would dramatically depart from how important positions have always been filled at the start of an administration. The confirmation process gathers important information that helps ensure that nominees who will be dangerous or ineffective for the American people are not confirmed and given great power, and that those who are confirmed meet at least a minimum standard of acceptability.

Senators are elected to do a job. Every senator should have the dignity and fortitude to demand that the Senate exercise its constitutional responsibility to rigorously and impartially vet, and then vote on whether to confirm, the nominees of the incoming president.

Sincerely,

People For the American Way

Public Citizen

Constitutional Accountability Center

Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington

Accountable.US

AFT

Alliance for Justice

American Constitution Society

American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees (AFSCME)

Americans United for Separation of Church and State

Auntie's Coalition

Autistic Self Advocacy Network

Bend the Arc: Jewish Action

Campaign Legal Center

Clearinghouse on Women's Issues

Climate Hawks Vote

Coalition on Human Needs

Common Cause

Congregation of Our Lady of Charity of the Good Shepherd, U.S. Provinces

Courage for America

Court Accountability Action

Defend The Vote Action Fund

DemCast USA

Democracy 21

Democracy Matters

Democracy SENTRY

Drug Policy Alliance

Earthjustice Action

Equal Justice Society

Feminist Majority Foundation

FFRF Action Fund

Free Speech For People

Friends of the Earth

Greenpeace USA

Human Rights Campaign

Indivisible

International Campaign for Responsible Technology

Just Solutions

Lambda Legal

Lawyers for Good Government

League of Conservation Voters

MomsRising

MoveOn

NAACP

National Advocacy Center of the Sisters of the Good Shepherd

National Council of Jewish Women

National Education Association

National Employment Law Project

National Health Law Program

National Women's Law Center

Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC)

NBJC

NextGen America

Peace Action

People Power United

People's Parity Project

Project On Government Oversight

Reproductive Freedom for All

Service Employees International Union (SEIU)

Sojourners

Stand Up America

State Democracy Defenders Action

Take Back the Court Action Fund

The National Vote

The Restaurant Opportunities Centers United (ROC United)

The Workers Circle

Unitarian Universalists for Social Justice

United Church of Christ

Voices for Progress

Voters of Tomorrow

ⁱ NLRB v. Noel Canning, 573 U.S. 513, 614 (2014) (Justice Scalia concurring).

ii "Mike Johnson must block Trump's scheme on recess appointments," Ed Whelan, The Washington Post, Nov. 14, 2024, https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/2024/11/14/trump-gaetz-cabinet-appointment-johnson.